NOTES 307

# THE COEFFICIENT OF ABMODALITY AND THE HOMOGENEITY OF MALABAR SOLE POPULATIONS

#### ABSTRACT

Fifteen morphometric ratios in 29 samples of the Malabar sole Cynoglossus semifasciatus Day from five centres of the west coast of India were studied by means d/ $\sigma$  test after substituting the sample mean values for the single specimen values, and the overall mean value of all samples together for the single sample mean of the original method for calculating the value of d/ $\sigma$ . The results have shown a very high degree of homogeneity in the population along the coast, in confirmation of the general findings of the earlier work on this fish. Morphometric ratios and meristic counts are still the most practical criteria available to fish taxonomists in their day to day work.

#### Introduction

SIMPSON AND ROE (1939) have described a method for comparing single specimens with a sample to test their identity namely, the  $d/\sigma$  test also known as the coefficient of abmodality test; d here is the deviation of the value of the chosen character from the mean value of the sample while  $\sigma$  is the Standard Deviation (SD) of the mean. The significance of  $d/\sigma$  is evaluated from a Probability table based on the normal curve area table (Simpson and Roe, 1939).

Chakrapani and Seshappa (1982), and Seshappa and Chakrapani (1984 a, b, 1987, MS) studied the morphometric, meristic and selected biological characters in this fish from 29 samples collected from Malpe, Mangalore, Cannanore, Calicut and Cochin together, and concluded in general that the species is quite homogeneous in the characters of its populations along the coast though there were slight differences here and there to be reconciled, particularly between Cochin at the southern end of the series on the one hand and all the other centres taken together on the other. It was interesting in this connection to try additionally,

the coefficient of abmodality test for comparison of the morphometric ratios of the above work, with the results of this attempt which form the subject matter of this short note.

#### Method

The method is a modification of the  $d/\sigma$  method described by Simpson and Roe (1939) as mentioned in the introduction. The Probability and the statistical significance are determined as in the original method of the same authors.

#### Results

Table 1 shows the grand mean value (with SD, SE and CV and the range of sample mean values for 15 selected morphometric ratios in Cynoglossus semifasciatus from 29 samples from the above mentioned data of Seshappa and Chakrapani (1984 a, b, 1987). Table 2 shows the range of d/o values derived as stated above, in three groups as follows: group I includes all values below 1.96; group II includes all values from 1.97 to 2.57 (both inclusive), and group III includes all the values of d/o which are 2.58 and above.

### Discussion and conclusion

Values of d/ $\sigma$  in group I have a Probability of more than 0.05 or 5% and are always non-significant; group III on the other hand, consists of values which are always significant with P level being less than 0.01 or 1%; in the intermediate group II the values may be said according to Simpson and Roe (1939) to be sometimes significant when they are equal to 2 or more, that is, there is an element of doubt for treating them as non-significant and so some allowance must be made for such cases while judging the final weight of the group III values in the total data.

Now, out of the total of 435 individual d/ $\sigma$  tests made here for all the ratios together, a total of 8 values fell into the group III, 17 into group II and all the rest (i.e. 410) fell into group I as can be seen from Table 2 (last column). The percentages of the three groups in the total tests made are found to be: group I — 94.25%, group II — 3.91% and group III — 1.84%. In group II, four values are above 2 and form 0.09% of the total and must be treated as possibly significant values, while the remaining 13 (= 2.99%) values are safely considered non-significant. Group III with 8

TABLE 1. Sample means and related statistics of 15 morphometric ratios of the Malabar sole Cynoglossus semifasciatus

	<del>-</del>	•	•	•	, ,		
Ratios	Range of means of samples (X)	Grand Means $(\overline{X})$	Standard Deviation (SD)	Standard Error (SE)	Coefficient of Variation (CV)		
TL/HL(i)	4.6537 to 5.5346	5.0233	0.1868	0.0347	3.7187		
TL/HL(ii)	4.2433 to 4.9271	4.5865	0.1682	0.0312	3.6673		
SL/HL(i)	4.1217 to 4.8748	4.4905	0.1691	0.0314	3.7657		
SL/HL(ii)	3.7561 to 4.4219	4.1049	0.1600	0.0297	3.8978		
TL/Ht.	4.1629 to 4.4384	4.3224	0.0566	0.0105	1.3095		
SL/Ht.	3.7282 to 3.9623	3.8701	0.0520	0.0097	1.3436		
TLSL	1.1082 to 1.1297	1.1180	0.0055	0.0010	0.4902		
HL/Ht.(i)	0.8000 to 0.9288	0.8632	0.0332	0.0062	3.8462		
HL/Ht.(ii)	0.8769 to 1.0180	0.9446	0.0374	0.0069	3.9593		
HL(i)/Sat.	3.2042 to 3.6434	3.3539	0.0938	0.1174	2.7967		
HL(ii)/Snt.	3.3566 to 3.9147	3.6651	0.1196	0.0222	3.2632		
Snt./ED	3.5132 to 4.0467	3.8121	0.1296	0.0241	3.3997		
HL(i)/ED	11.4805 to 14.0966	12.7376	0.4901	0.0910	3.8486		
HL(ii)/ED	12.7994 to 15.2806	13.1183	0.4983	0.0925	3.8502		
ED/IOW	2.2100 to 3.2544	2.6425	0.4055	0.0753	15.3221		

Abbreviations: HL(i) = Head length to opercular angle; HL(ii) = Maximum head length; TL = Total length; SL = Standard length; Ht. = Maximum height; Snt. = Snout length; ED = Eye diameter; IOW = Inter orbital width.

NOTES 309

TABLE 2. Range of d/o values and their significance in 29 samples of Malabar sole C. semifasciatus (Figures in brackets indicate frequencies) (I, II and III are the groups of the d/o values)

Ratios	Malpe (N = 46)	Mangalore (N = 319)	Cannanore $(N = 243)$
	(14 = 40)		
TL/HL(i)	I 0.57	I 0.25-0.76	I 0.32-0.83 II 1.98 (1)
TL/HL(ii)	I 0.33	I 0.03-0.70	I 0.07-1.56
SL/HL(i)	I 0.23	I 0.26-0.77	I 0.10-0.77
		II 2.18(1)	II 2.18(1)
SL/HL(ii)	I 0.41	I 0.17-0.98	I 0.05-0.92
			II 2.18(1)
TL/Ht.	I 0.75	I 0.05-0.78	I 0.04-0.11
SL/Ht.	I 0.27	I 0.03-0.87	I 0.76-1.22
TL/SL	I 1.69	I 0.05-0.58	I 0.44-1.78
			II 2.13(1)
HL(i)/Ht.	I 0.11	I 0.28-0.92	I 0.37-1.11
			II 1.98(1)
HL(ii)/Ht.	I 0.39	I 0.16-1.26	I 0.28-1.21
			II 1.98(1)
HL(i)/Snt.	I 0.66	I 0.05-0.88	I 0.02-1.67 II 2.28(1)
HL(ii)/Snt.	I 0.95	I 0.13-0.44	I 0.16-1.40
		II 2.60(1)	II 2.09(1) III 2.60(1)
Snt./ED	I 0.91	I 0.10-1.62	I 0.37-1.81
Juii, 22		II 2.31(1)	
HL(i)/ED	I 0.38	I 0.18-0.75	I 0.39-0.77
,		II 2.14-2.56(2)	III 2.78(1)
HL(ii)/ED	I 0.08	I 0.14-1.89	I 0.35-1.03
		II 2.25(1)	III 2.73(1)
ED/IOW	I 0.39	I 0.11-1.50	I 0.14-0.97
Tota	I 0.11-1.69	I 0.03-1.89	1 0.02-1.81
		II 2.14-2.56(4)	II 1.96-2.57(6) III 2.73-2.78(2)

Significance — Group I: below 1.96; group II: 1.96-2.57; group III: 2.58 and above. Group I values are clearly non-significant while group III values are clearly significant. In group II, only the values of d/o above 2 are likely to be significant and not those below 2.

TABLE 2 (Contd.)

Ratios	Calicut (N = 46)		(	Cochin (N = 319)		Total (All Centres) (N = 1530)	
TL/HL(i)	I	0.38-1.75	I	0.11-0.58	I	0.11-1.60	
	II	2.74(1)			II III	1.98(1) 2.74(1)	
TT /LTT /::\	I	0.17-1.79	. I	0.07-0.18	I	0.03-1.79	
TL/HL(ii)	II	2.18-2.50(2)		0.07-0.18	i	2.18-2.50(2)	
OT (TT) (')				0.12.0.15	I	0.10-1.91	
SL/HL(i)	I II	0.39-1.91 2.27(1)	I	0.12-0.15	II	2.18-2.27(2)	
SL/HL(ii)	I II	0.31-1.84	I	0.42-0.64	II ·	0.05-1.84	
	11	2.18(1)			11	1.98-2.18(2)	
I'L/Ht.	I	0.04-1.63	I	1.64	I	0.04-1.64	
	III .	2.82(1)	II	2.05(1)	II	2.05(1)	
DI #1.		0.17.1.20		1 42 1 55	III	2.82(1)	
SL/Ht.	I III	0.16-1.38 2.73(1)	I	1.43-1.77	I III	0.03-1.77 2.73(1)	
TL/SL	I	0.0-1.62	I	0.42-0.58	I	0.00-1.62	
	_		_		II	2.13(1)	
HL(i)/Ht.	I	0.08-1.90	I	0.43-0.48	I II	0.08-1.90	
III (!!) (II.	I	0.13-1.81	I	0.99-1.09	I	1.98(1) 0.12-1.81	
HL(ii)/Ht.	1	0.13-1.81	1	0.99-1.09	I	1.96(1)	
HL(i)/Snt.	I	0.02-1.59	I	0.36	I	0.02-1.67	
т. т. т. т.	•	0.02-1.09	111	3.09(1)	II	2.28(1)	
				(-)	III	3.09(1)	
HL(ii)/Snt.	I	0.23-1.60	I	0.11-0.77	I	0.11-1.60	
	III	2.58(1)			II	2.09(1)	
					III	2.58-2.60(2)	
Snt./ED	I	0.06-1.84	I	0.13-0.89	I	0.06-1.89	
W (0.505	_		_		II	2.31(1)	
HL(i)ÆD	I	0.01-1.75	I	0.02-0.49	I	0.01-1.75	
					II III	2.14-2.56(2) 2.78(1)	
HL(ii)/ED	I	0.15-1.44	I	0.61-0.80	ı	0.08-1.89	
	•	5.25 2. T		0.01-0.00	II	2.25(1)	
					III	2.73(1)	
ED/IOW	I	0.20-1.42	1	0.42-0.67	I	0.11-1.50	
Total	I	0.00-1.91	I	0.02-1.77	I	0.00-1.91	
	11	1.96-2.57(4)	II	2.05(1)	II	1.96-2.57(17)	
•	· III	2.58-2.82(4)	III	3.09(1)	III	2.58-3.09(8)	

Significance: Total non-significant = 423 (i.e. 410 from group I and 13 from group II); clearly significant = 12 (i.e. 8 from group III and 4 of the highest values from group II). It emerges from these figures that 97.24% of  $d/\sigma$  the values examined are non-significant and 2.76% only are significant.

NOTES 311

values above 2.58 form 0.18% of total tests made. Thus only 0.27% of the  $d/\sigma$  values are clearly significant and all the others are non-significant *i.e.* the differences between the two sets of values compared are statistically of no importance for the characters under consideration.

"Sri Sai Nivasa" 706, 2nd C cross Road, Basaveswaranagar III Stage, Bangalore-560 079. These results thus confirm the earlier general conclusion of Seshappa and Chakrapani (1984 a, b, 1987) that populations of the Malabar sole *C. semifasciatus* were very largely homogeneous on the basis of samples examined in the period of sampling. The data of individual centres also show similar significance.

G. SESHAPPA

#### REFERENCES

SIMPSON, G. G. AND ANNE ROE 1939. Quantitative Zoology. McGraw Hill Book Company Inc., New York & London, 414 pp.

Chakrapani, B. K. and G. Seshappa 1982. *J. mar. biol. Ass. India*, **24** (1 & 2): 72-79.

SESHAPPA, G. AND B. K. CHAKRAPANI 1984 a. Indian J. Fish., 31(1): 82-89.

# HISTOCHEMICAL LOCALIZATION OF SULPHATED POLYSACCHARIDES IN THE TISSUES OF THE BLOOD CLAM ANADARA RHOMBEA

coast of India.

#### ABSTRACT

Histochemical analyses of sulphated polysaccharides were made in mantle, palp, foot, gill and adductor muscle of the blood clam *Anadara rhombea*. Specific staining procedures such as PAS, alcian blue pH 2.5 and 1.0, aldehyde fuchsin, combined alcian blue pH 2.5/PAS, alcian blue pH 1.0/PAS, aldehyde fuchsin/alcian blue pH 2.5 were followed. The mantle, palp, foot, gill and adductor muscle have contained PAS positive, sulphated acid polysaccharides.

#### Introduction

IT IS well known that either natural or synthetic sulphated polysaccharides have blood anticoagulant property. Histochemistry is a more sensitive method for localizing sulphated polysaccharides than the biochemical analysis of tissues. In the present study different histochemical methods were used to locate sulphated polysaccharides in mantle, palp, foot, gill and adductor muscle of the blood clam Anadara rhombea.

The first another thanks ICMR for Junior Research Fellowship.

## Materials and methods

The blood clam Anadara rhombea were collected from the Vellar Estuary (11° 29′ N, 79°46′ E). The shells were opened and the tissues amputated gently. The tissues were then fixed in buffered neutral formalin (Bullock et al., 1976). After fixation for 24 hr, small